



CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB

ISSN : 2349-7750

**INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES**

SJIF Impact Factor: 7.187

<http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4434511>Available online at: <http://www.iajps.com>

Research Article

**GUT MICROBIOTA ALTERATION IN COLORECTAL
CANCER AND ITS CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS**Dr Ammad Javed¹, Dr Abeeha Rai², Dr Ans Majid³¹Shalamar Medical and dental College²King Edward Medical University³Services Institute of Medical Sciences

Article Received: November 2020

Accepted: December 2020

Published: January 2021

Abstract:

Introduction: Colorectal cancer is the second commonest cancer arising in the world. Colorectal cancer can present with an array of symptoms and approximately 35–48% of patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer have experienced rectal bleeding. **Objectives:** The main objective of the study is to analyse the role of gut microbiota alteration in colorectal cancer and its clinical implications. **Material and methods:** This descriptive study was conducted in King Edward Medical University during June 2019 to November 2019. Several studies have shown that numerous bacterial species appear to be associated with the pathogenesis of CRC and recent studies have provided a mechanism for the participation of gut microbiota in the progress of CRC. **Results:** The median age of the patients was 56 years (range: 20–86), and 73% were male. Most patients were married [85.6%], and more than half of the participants were high school educated or higher [77.8%] and unemployed 52.8%. The differences in microbial community abundance between the two groups were examined by statistical methods, and the significance of the differences was evaluated by FDR (false discovery rate). We screened out the species that caused the difference in the composition of the two groups of samples.

Corresponding author:**Dr. Ammad Javed,**

Shalamar Medical and dental College

QR code



Please cite this article in press Ammad Javed *et al*, *Gut Microbiota Alteration In Colorectal Cancer And Its Clinical Implications.*, *Indo Am. J. P. Sci.*, 2021; 08[1].

INTRODUCTION:

Colorectal cancer is the second commonest cancer arising in the world. Colorectal cancer can present with an array of symptoms and approximately 35–48% of patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer have experienced rectal bleeding. Even though the positive predictive value of rectal bleeding for colorectal cancer is low (<3%), it is regarded as an alarm symptom in persons over the age of 40 years [1]. Meanwhile, the majority of individuals who experience rectal bleeding do not report it to their general practitioner (GP). More surprisingly, studies have shown that colorectal cancer patients, who had experienced rectal bleeding, delayed help-seeking more often than patients who had not experienced rectal bleeding [2].

The possible association between rectal bleeding and patient delay differentiates colorectal cancer from most other cancers where bleeding appears to be associated with a short patient interval. Therefore, it is imperative that the factors contributing to this are examined and understood [3]. It has been assumed that the revealed association between rectal bleeding and long patient intervals is a consequence of patients attributing the rectal bleeding to benign causes such as hemorrhoids. Meanwhile, the results of one study of 93 patients who presented with rectal bleeding to their GP suggested that the relationship between rectal bleeding and the patient interval appeared to be modified by personal experiences [4]. Thus, it was found that those patients who had experienced rectal bleeding before and may have suffered from known benign rectal disorders were *less* likely to delay help-seeking than those who had never experienced rectal bleeding before. The proportion of patients who consider cancer when experiencing rectal bleeding is not known [5].

The gut contains a complicated environment that is settled by bacteria, fungi, and viruses. The total number may reach 100 trillion, and the number of microbe cells is estimated to be 10-fold more than the human cells. This densely resident microbial community consistently communicates with the host and also enhances the epithelial defense against pathogens, accelerates the maturity of the immune system, and absorbs the nutrition from ingested foods [6]. Despite the mucus layer, which consists of various macromolecules and secreted antimicrobial molecular and intercellular tight connection proteins, the gut microbiota also possess the capacity to defend pathogens by inducing IgG antibodies through recognition of their conserved antigen part of gram-negative bacteria [7]. The gut microbiota not only protect the local homeostasis, but also mediate the

related organ. For example, an in-vivo experiment proved that the gut microbiota was manipulated by intestinal lectins to decrease alcohol-associated steatohepatitis [8].

Objectives

The main objective of the study is to analyse the role of gut microbiota alteration in colorectal cancer and its clinical implications.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

This descriptive study was conducted in King Edward Medical University during June 2019 to November 2019. Several studies have shown that numerous bacterial species appear to be associated with the pathogenesis of CRC and recent studies have provided a mechanism for the participation of gut microbiota in the progress of CRC.

Inclusion criteria

- All those patients who were ready to participate in this study and having confirmed CRC.

Exclusion criteria

- Those who had used antibiotics or microecological agents within 2 months before enrolment.
- Those who suffer from chronic diseases such as hypertension, heart disease, and diabetes.

Data collection

All patients were interviewed and examined by a gastroenterologist. Accordingly, patients' informed through written consent was obtained from each patient before placing interview according to the strategies of the local institutes. After clinical assessment, all patients suffered anal examination and digital rectal review. The subjects were divided into two groups, one was CRC patients and second was control group. Data were collected in the clean environment of fresh feces (not less than 6 g), put in an aseptic sampling tube and sent to the laboratory, and kept at -80°C for inspection.

Statistical analysis

Each experiment was repeated three times and all data were displayed in $\text{mean} \pm \text{SD}$ and analyzed through SPSS 19.0 (IBM, USA). T-test and one-way ANOVA were applied for measuring comparison among groups. $P < 0.05$ was considered to have statistical meaning.

RESULTS:

The median age of the patients was 56 years (range: 20–86), and 73% were male. Most patients were married [85.6%], and more than half of the participants

were high school educated or higher [77.8%] and unemployed 52.8%.

Table 01: Baseline characteristics

| | <i>N</i> = 50 | % |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|------|
| Age | | |
| Median | 56 | |
| Range | 20–86 | |
| Smoking | | |
| Smoker | 16 | 20.1 |
| Non-Smoker | 34 | 79.9 |
| Marital status | | |
| Married | 19 | 85.6 |
| Single | 15 | 7.4 |
| Widowed | 12 | 5.2 |
| Divorced | 4 | 1.7 |
| Educational level | | |
| Elementary school | 14 | 10.5 |
| Middle school | 7 | 11.8 |
| High school | 16 | 37.6 |
| Undergraduate | 7 | 32.3 |
| Graduate school | 6 | 7.9 |
| Employment status | | |
| Full-time job | 22 | 35.8 |
| Part-time job | 6 | 11.4 |
| Unemployed | 22 | 35.8 |
| Histology | | |
| Tubular adenocarcinoma | 16 | 70.3 |
| Signet ring cell carcinoma | 8 | 25.3 |
| Mucinous carcinoma | 3 | 2.2 |
| Others | 25 | 22 |
| Adjuvant chemotherapy | | |
| Platinum-based doublet (SP or FP) | 36 | 67.5 |
| TS-1 monotherapy | 14 | 26.5 |

The median patient intervals in days are reported for patients, who reported changes in bowel habits, fatigue, pain, weight loss, and general indisposition either in combination with rectal bleeding or not in combination with this symptom.

Table 02: Median patient interval (in days) for the five symptoms occurring in $\geq 20\%$ of the sample

| | Changes in bowel habits | Pain | Weight loss | Fatigue | General indisposition |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Median (IQI) patient interval when presented without rectal bleeding | 16 (5–31) | 14 (3–28) | 18 (4–29) | 17 (4–29) | 10 (0–29) |
| | N=30 (22.1%) | N=25 (18.4%) | N=17 (12.5%) | N=26 (19.1%) | N=11 (8.1%) |
| Median (IQI) patient interval when presented together with rectal bleeding | 61 (12–112) | 31 (13–119) | 38 (22–74) | 34 (5–96) | 31 (0–57) |
| | N=58 (42.6%) | N=22 (16.2%) | N=12 (8.8%) | N=38 (27.9%) | N=16 (11.8%) |

DISCUSSION:

Several studies have shown that numerous bacterial species appear to be associated with the pathogenesis of CRC and recent studies have provided a mechanism for the participation of gut microbiota in the progress of CRC [9]. Some bacterial species like *Clostridium septicum*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Streptococcus bovis*, *Bacteroides fragilis*, *Helicobacter pylori*, *Escherichia coli* and *Fusobacterium* spp. have been detected and supposed to play a role in colorectal pathogenesis [10].

For example, *Streptococcus gallolyticus* (In the past *Streptococcus bovis*) is reported in nearly 20–50% and 5% of colon tumors and normal colon respectively. In CRC patients *Ruminococcus bromii*, *Clostridium clostridioforme* and *Bifidobacterium longum* have low prevalence compared to normal population [11]. Furthermore, in different studies a notably increase number of the *Bacteroides/Prevotella* and *Fusobacterium nucleatum* population is described in CRC population [12].

CONCLUSION:

It is concluded that diet is associated with increased incidence of CRC. Diet shapes the microflora and affects its metabolites and functions. Excessive intake of animal protein and fat (especially red meat and processed meat) will produce excessive secondary bile acid and hydrogen sulfide, leading to barrier dysfunction, inflammation, DNA damage, genotoxicity, and so on, which may increase the risk of CRC.

REFERENCES:

1. R. Gao, Z. Gao, L. Huang, and H. Qin, "Gut microbiota and colorectal cancer," *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 757–769, 2017.
2. S. Roy and G. Trinchieri, "Microbiota: a key orchestrator of cancer therapy," *Nature Reviews Cancer*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 271–285, 2017.
3. J. Ahn, R. Sinha, Z. Pei et al., "Human gut microbiome and risk for colorectal cancer," *JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, vol. 105, no. 24, pp. 1907–1911, 2013.
4. K. Xu and B. Jiang, "Analysis of mucosa-associated microbiota in colorectal cancer," *Medical Science Monitor*, vol. 23, no. 23, pp. 4422–4430, 2017.
5. J. L. Drewes, J. R. White, C. M. Dejea et al., "High-resolution bacterial 16S rRNA gene profile meta-analysis and biofilm status reveal common colorectal cancer consortia," *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes*, vol. 3, p. 34, 2017.
6. H. Tilg, T. E. Adolph, R. R. Gerner, and A. R. Moschen, "The intestinal microbiota in colorectal cancer," *Cancer Cell*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 954–964, 2018.
7. B. Flemer, D. B. Lynch, J. M. R. Brown et al., "Tumour-associated and non-tumour-associated microbiota in colorectal cancer," *Gut*, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 633–643, 2017.
8. C. M. Dejea, P. Fathi, J. M. Craig et al., "Patients with familial adenomatous polyposis harbor colonic biofilms containing tumorigenic bacteria," *Science*, vol. 359, no. 6375, pp. 592–597, 2018.
9. B. Flemer, R. D. Warren, M. P. Barrett et al., "The oral microbiota in colorectal cancer is distinctive and predictive," *Gut*, vol. 67, no. 8, pp. 1454–1463, 2018.
10. S. H. Wong, L. Zhao, X. Zhang et al., "Gavage of fecal samples from patients with colorectal cancer promotes intestinal carcinogenesis in germ-free and conventional mice," *Gastroenterology*, vol. 153, no. 6, pp. 1621–1633, 2017.
11. Rostami Nejad M, Ishaq S, Al Dulaimi D, Zali MR, Rostami K. The role of infectious mediators and gut microbiome in the pathogenesis of celiac disease. *Arch Iran Med*. 2015;18:244–49.
12. Gagnière J, Raisch J, Veziat J, Barnich N, Bonnet R, Buc E, et al. Gut microbiota imbalance and colorectal cancer. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2016;22:501–18.