CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB ISSN : 2349-7750

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

SJIF Impact Factor: 7.187

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6567604

Available online at: http://www.iajps.com *Research Article*

DETERMINE THE CRITICAL DOMAINS TO EVALUATE WHILE BUILDING PANDEMIC READINESS STRATEGIES FOR SURGICAL SERVICES

[1]Kainaat Tahir, [2]Saleha Zaheer, [3]Muhammad Haider Hayat, [4]Muhammad Awais Qarni Khan

[1] 38403-9640406-4

[2] 38403-3726149-6

[3] 117735-P

[4] 38302-4391941-3

Article Received: March 2022 **Accepted:** April 2022 **Published:** May 2022

Abstract:

Aim: *Even during COVID-19 epidemic, doctors desperately want instructions on how to provide medical assistance in a safe and competent manner. The aim was to determine the essential domains that should be taken into account while creating epidemic preparation strategies for surgical facilities.*

Methods: *This scoping search remained carried out to discover available literature on the care of postoperative pain throughout pandemics. In order to recognize major difficulties and options to bringing successful surgical services as throughout COVID-19 pandemic, focus group interviews remained undertaken with surgeons and anesthetists having direct experience of dealing throughout outbreaks of infectious diseases.*

Results: *The scoping search yielded fourteen papers, and surgeons and anesthetists from 13 different countries were questioned. The epidemic action plan for surgical services must remain prepared in advance to mount an effective reaction to COVID-19. Facility of staff training (including such care transitions, donning and doffing protective clothing, and acknowledging and able to manage COVID-19 illness); support for the hospital inpatient reply to COVID-19 (reduction in non-urgent operations like treatment facilities, endoscopy, and non-urgent elective surgery); development of the team-founded method for running ambulance service; also acknowledgment and strategic planning of COVID-19 disease in people are important areas that are included. The backlog of operations following conclusion of COVID-19 epidemic is unavoidable, also hospitals must prepare how to deal with it efficiently so that people undergoing elective therapy get the ideal outcomes.*

Conclusion: *Hospitals must develop thorough context-specific epidemic contingency strategies that address the topics outlined. Clear criteria must be revised on a regular basis to reflect fresh information as during COVID-19 epidemic.*

Keywords: *COVID-19 epidemic, medical assistance, Surgical Services, Pandemic Preparedness.*

Corresponding author:

Muhammad Awais Qarni Khan

38302-4391941-3

QR code

Please cite this article in press Muhammad Awais Qarni Khan et al, ***Determine The Critical Domains To Evaluate While Building Pandemic Readiness Strategies For Surgical Services.,*** *Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2022; 09(5).*

INTRODUCTION:

Information on how to offer surgical treatments easily and securely in the midst of the COVID-19 epidemic is desperately needed. Surgical services must strike a compromise between assisting the overall hospital reaction and lowering the chance of nosocomial COVID-19 transmission while both providing treatment for acute surgical problems and handling urgent elective surgery [1]. The purpose of this paper was to assist surgical and anesthetic teams as well as health-care leaders by highlighting critical topics and should be included in pandemic preparation strategies. Many elective surgeries must be delayed as an early precaution, particularly treatments that are expected to need intensive care assistance. Medical urgency and resource capacity must be balanced; some time-sensitive treatments may need to be preferred [2] Because various specialists may compete for shorter operating ability, guidelines must be devised to prioritize patients solely on medical necessity. If operational resources are extremely constrained, multiple expert operations and training process reductions should be considered to reduce operating times. Consumers must be counseled about the hazards of both deferring and proceeding after surgery [3]. The backlog of operations following conclusion of COVID-19 epidemic is unavoidable, and hospitals must plan how to treat the current efficiently to provide the best health result for elective individuals. Since delay in diagnosis and final treatment may impair oncological results and create grief for patients and family members, surgical cancer care presents particular challenges. Individuals who are at high danger of COVID-19 problems, like fragile and elderly, may remain given neoadjuvant therapies when ultimate surgical therapy is postponed [4]. Radiological and endoscopic examinations for individuals having priority elevated signs must be continued for very feasible, and elective tumor surgery must remain given access with as numerous individuals as feasible. Surgeons of all disciplines must remain ready to deal with COVID-19 in three main areas [5].

METHODOLOGY:

A comprehensive search was conducted to locate available literature relevant to surgical patient treatment throughout pandemics. From commencement until May 25, 2021, the sources MEDLINE, Embase, and the Cochrane Library were examined. Singular or combined searching phrases included 'outbreaks,' 'disease outbreak,' 'coronavirus,' 'COVID-19,' 'MERS' or 'SARS,' and surgery or surgical. Social media was utilized to find also interaction physicians and anesthesiologists who have direct experience treating people through established or supposed COVID-19 infection. Additionally, surgeons having prior expertise dealing through epidemics, with Ebola virus sickness, were discovered. Telephone key informant interviews were done, also written research papers were supplemented. Local hospital protocols put in place throughout COVID-19 epidemic were recognized by respondents. Even during COVID-19 epidemic, a thematic study remained performed to classify important obstacles and alternatives to providing good clinical support. This essay was planned by highly experienced client research advocates, who highlighted significant patient needs. To minimize infection of holding areas, protocols must be devised to guarantee that individuals are not transferred across locations until their destination has been certified as ready. One devoted COVID-19 operating theatre, preferably the negative pressure theatre adjacent to operation theatre compound entry, must be created to offer clear paths for level of mobility avoiding traveling across non-infected regions. The COVID-19 theatre must remain well-stocked through appropriate tools for various treatments. Beyond the theatre, runners must be ready to transport material via the non-infected region. Local protocols for defensive gear in the operating room, comprising washing, donning also doffing procedures, and the provision of specialized shifting spaces, must be decided upon.

RESULTS:

There was a total of 15 publications found that described on delivery of surgical services throughout COVID-19 epidemic. Additional papers linked to SARS and Ebola virus illness that reported on surgical patient treatment during both pandemics were discovered. Prior to the development of influenza virus, epidemic training must remain conducted as part of standard hospital planning. A designated surgeon or anesthetist should be in charge of designing the strategy in consultation with infection prevention and control specialists, as well as revising it when domestically and internationally recommendations are released. The strategy must encompass both surgical and anesthetic specialties. Once a possible epidemic danger has been detected, workers should be educated quickly to put the strategy into action.

To ease interaction among surgical teams and hospital administration, medical services must be included on hospital's central event command team at this time. Outpatient clinic activities must be drastically reduced to avoid the chance of cross-infection, especially among frail, aging, or co-morbid individuals who are at an elevated risk of severe results from COVID-19

infection. Novel hospital transfers must remain prioritized, and as numerous as feasible should be provided phone appointments. Clinically suspected cancers are sent directly to medical tests, that can be scheduled at an opportune moment based on local availability. Routine recommendations requiring in-person assessment must be delayed. Checklists must be created so that personnel may check up on patients admitted via online or phone conversations. There are three major advantages of reducing elective activities, including operation. First, it frees up general ward and intensive care unit beds, improving space for COVID-19 patients. Recovery spaces in operating rooms may be repurposed to serve as extra ICUs. Second, it frees up doctors and theatre crews to conduct exercises and assist with larger-scale emergency treatment.

To assist intensive care delivery, anesthetists and severe care physicians skilled in chronic intensive care may indeed remain needed, having general surgeons performing emergency duties. Third, it minimizes danger of contaminated staff and patients infecting elective residents and hospital visitors to COVID-19, keeping infection from spreading from the hospital to the public. Because the period of pandemic-related interruption is uncertain, deferring cancer care can result in longer treatment delays, even while it enhances current hospital capacity. First, some individuals brought to the hospital with COVID-19 illness will grow complications that necessitate surgical interference. It can be more common in ICU cases at high risk of consequences like ruptured hollow viscus, mesenteric ischemia, or severe limb ischemia. Perioperative morbidity is anticipated to be increased in cases ill through COVID-19 which are previously on ventilatory sustenance.

Formal country risk including multidisciplinary discussions, involving senior surgeons and ICU and communicable diseases specialists, must influence clinical decision. Non-surgical therapy methods must be carefully evaluated, especially once properties are scarce and survival following reconstructive surgery is improbable. Second, individuals hospitalized having severe surgical pathologies, many of which cause complications, can also be infected with COVID-19. COVID-19 can cause digestive issues or fever, that is a typical inpatient medical diagnosis. Doctors should be educated to detect and treat COVID-19 infection. Third, people develop breathing problems or a fever of uncertain cause following surgery, indicating a probable nosocomial COVID-19 contagion. Teams must be educated to segregate cases of supposed infections as soon as possible and to screen persons at risk from exposure as soon as possible. Transferring individuals from general or emergency medicine wards to operation rooms must be organized carefully to decrease danger of cross-infection to other residents and personnel, especially whenever utilizing elevators.

To supplement instruction, online video resources are prepared. Operations must be hazard depending on the patient and procedural characteristics to save limited stock of PPE. Doctors must be ready to treat the variety of problems in infected persons having COVID-19. Individuals which acquire an unexplained fever or breathing difficulties must be separated, and a chest CT or COVID-19 laboratory tests must be explored. To avoid cross-infection, such individuals must be seen by COVID-19-precise medical teams, but instead of teams that also handle uninfected individuals.

Table 1:

Covariates	**IRR**	Negative Binomial	
		96% cl	P value
Time stayed in Hospital	0.11	0.11, 0.11	<0.02
Post covid health	0.87	0.84, 0.92	<0.02
Male	0.27	0.36, 0.94	<0.06
Age at Hospital addition	0.14	0.01, 0.03	<0.01
CCI	0.96	0.03,0.04	<0.06

Table 2:

No of participants	68
Average Age	55.8 (9.8)
Man	56 (83%)
Woman	12 (17%)
IQR	46
SD	98.6
Alive	58 (85.7)
Dead	10 (14.3)

DISCUSSION:

To respond effectively to COVID-19 pandemic, hospitals must create specific context-precise pandemic preparedness plans for surgical services that address development outcomes recognized now [6]. As the COVID-19 pandemic proceeds, specific guidelines must be revised on a regular basis to reflect new findings. Planning in areas that have not previously suffered spread of the virus must build on lessons learned in places which have already undergone big-scale COVID-19 epidemics [7]. Many suggestions are now based only on professional opinion, but there are several areas of doubt. There seems to be an emergency requirement for high-excellence multicenter studies to improve COVID-19 surgical strategies and properly address individuals' issues [8]. Research should focus on getting influence of COVID-19 infection on surgical results, developing danger prediction tools, in addition identifying if prophylaxis with repurposing medications decreases hospital-acquired COVID-19 infection rates [9]. In important to enlighten suggestions about the advantages and dangers of laparoscopic surgery even throughout COVID-19 epidemic, also it is necessary to establish whether COVID-19 is present in abdominal fluids (like peritoneal liquid, bile, and urine) or aerosols generated following gas inhalation [10].

CONCLUSION:

Attention has to be paid to maintaining the surgical workers safe and capable of doing their tasks. Face-to-face contacts must be cancelled, and teleconferencing, particularly that for interdisciplinary team meetings, must be promoted. Assistance must be established for employees who have childcare requirements, including when schools are down or who have other caring duties. Assess whether employees are at elevated danger of COVID-19 problems (like pregnant doctors, elderly doctors, and these through co-morbidities) and if their tasks must remain modified to limit infection danger.

REFERENCES:

1. The Independent Panel for Pandemic Preparedness and Response **COVID-19: make it the last pandemic**https://recommendations.theindependentpanel.org/main-report/main-report/ (May 2021), Accessed 28th Jun 2021
2. SG Stolberg **As pandemic recedes in US, calls are growing for an investigative commission**
3. The New York Times (June 16, 2021)
4. TJ Bollyky, SM Patrick **Improving pandemic preparedness: lessons from COVID-19. Council on Foreign Relations** https://www.cfr.org/report/pandemic-preparedness-lessons-COVID-19/ (October, 2020), Accessed 28th Jun 2021
5. SJ Ravi, KL Warmbrod, L Mullen, *et al.* **The value proposition of the Global Health Security Index** BMJ Glob Health, 5 (2020), Article e003648
6. A Razavi, N Erondu, E Okereke **The Global Health Security Index: what value does it add?** BMJ Glob Health, 5 (2020), Article e002477
7. JB Nuzzo, JA Bell, EE Cameron **Suboptimal US response to COVID-19 despite robust capabilities and resources** JAMA, 324 (2020), pp. 1391-1392
8. RA Kock, WB Karesh, F Veas, *et al*. **2019-nCoV in context: lessons learned?** Lancet Planet Health, 4 (2020), pp. e87-e88
9. N Kandel, S Chungong, A Omaar, J Xing **Health security capacities in the context of COVID-19 outbreak: an analysis of International Health Regulations annual report data from 182 countries** Lancet, 395 (2020), pp. 1047-1053
10. C Courtemanche, J Garuccio, A Le, J Pinkston, A Yelowitz **Strong social distancing measures in the United States reduced the COVID-19 growth rate** Health Aff (Millwood), 39 (2020), pp. 1237-1246 S Kwon, AD Joshi, CH Lo, *et al.* **Association of social distancing and face mask use with risk of COVID-19** Nat Commun, 12 (2021), Article 3737