

CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB

ISSN: 2349-7750

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

SJIF Impact Factor: 7.187

Available online at: <u>http://www.iajps.com</u>

Research Article

DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF STABILITY INDICATING RP HPLC METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ULIPRISTAL ACETATE IN PHARMACEUTICAL DOSAGE FORMS

Devanpally Rajashekhar, Dr k. Balaji

Department of pharmaceutical Analysis, Avanthi Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Gunthapally, Abdullapurmet, Telangana, India.

Abstract:

A simple and selective LC method is described for the determination of Ulipristal in tablet dosage forms. Chromatographic separation was achieved on a c18 column using mobile phase consisting of a mixture of Phosphate buffer(KH2PO4) pH4.0: Acetonitrile (30:70v/v/v), with detection of 223 nm. Linearity was observed in the range 60-140 µg /ml for Ulipristal (r2 = 0.999) for drugs estimated by the proposed methods was in good agreement with the label claim. From the above experimental results and parameters it was concluded that, this newly developed method for the simultaneous estimation of Ulipristal was found to be simple, precise, accurate and high resolution and shorter retention time makes this method more acceptable and cost effective and it can be effectively applied for routine analysis in research institutions, quality control department in meant in industries, approved testing laboratories, bio-pharmaceutical and bio-equivalence studies and in clinical pharmacokinetic studies in near future.

Keywords: Ulipristal, RP-HPLC, Development, Validation

Corresponding author:

Devanpally Rajashekhar,

Department of pharmaceutical Analysis, Avanthi Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Gunthapally, Abdullapurmet, Telangana, India. E-mail: rajashekar6345@gmail.com



Please cite this article in press Devanpally Rajashekhar et al, Development And Validation Of Stability Indicating RP HPLC Method For The Determination Of Ulipristal Acetate In Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms "Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2023; 10(03).

INTRODUCTION:

Ulipristal is a selective progesterone receptor modulator used for the purposes of emergency contraception (Ella) and for the treatment of uterine fibroids (Fibristal). It is a derivative of 19norprogesterone and has both antagonistic and partial agonist activity at the progesterone receptor. It also binds to glucocorticoid receptor, however compared to mifepristone (a progesterone receptor antagonist), ulipristal is more tolerable and has lower glucocorticoid activity and better binding affinity. The exact mechanism of action of ulipristal has been heavily debated. On one hand, the majority official prescribing information labels, of monographs, and prior research studies for ulipristal indicated as an emergency contraceptive suggest that its primary mechanism of action revolves around inhibiting or delaying ovulation by suppressing surges in LH that result in the postponement of follicular rupture IUPAC name is 14-acetyl-17-[4-(dimethylamino)phenyl]-14hydroxy-15-

methyltetracyclo[$8.7.0.0^{2,7}.0^{11,15}$]heptadec a-1,6-dien-5-one. Molecular formula C₂₈H₃₅NO₃. Molecular Weight is 433.5.



Figure 1: Structure of Ulipristal

Literature survey reveals that the Ulipristal in both single and simultaneous with other drugs can be estimated by RPHPLC in pharmaceutical dosage forms ⁵⁻⁷ The objective of this study is to develop a simple, fast, economical, selective, accurate, precise and sensitive RP-HPLC method for the determination of Ulipristal in bulk and its pharmaceutical dosage forms suitable for routine quality control analysis. This work makes an attempt to develop a new sensitive and accurate RP-HPLC method for estimation of UPA in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form and to validate the developed method in accordance with International Council on Harmonization of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use

(ICH) guidelines.8

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Chemicals and Reagents: Ulipristal is gift samples obtained from Chandra labs, Hyderabad. NaH₂PO₄ was analytical grade supplied by Finerchem limited, Orthophosphoric acid (Merck), and Water and Methanol for HPLC (Lichrosolv (Merck).

Equipment and Chromatographic Conditions: The chromatography was performed on a Waters 2695 HPLC system, equipped with an auto sampler, UV detector and Empower 2 software. Analysis was carried out at 254 nm with column Zodiac ODS, RP-18,250×4.6mm ID, 5μ m Particle size, dimensions at Ambient temperature. The optimized mobile phase consists of Phosphate buffer(KH2PO4): Acetonitrile(30:70). Flow rate was maintained at 1 ml/min.

Preparation of solutions: Preparation of buffer:

The buffer solution was prepared by dissolving accurately weighed 0.900 g of anhydrous disodium hydrogen phosphate and 1.298 g of citric acid monohydrate in sufficient water to produce 1000 ml. The pH was adjusted to 3.0 using phosphoric acid and sonicated to dissolve.

Preparation of mobile phase:

The Mobile Phase was prepared by mixing HPLC grade acetonitrile (ACN) was mixed with the buffer in a ratio of 30: 70 v/v. It was then sonicated for about 30 min and filtered through 0.45-micron membrane filter which was used for analysis of Ulipristal.

Diluant Preparation:

Mobile phase is used as Diluant. Standard sample

Standard stock solution of ULIPRISTAL (microgram/ml) were prepared by dissolving 100 mg of ULIPRISTAL was dissolved in sufficient mobile phase. After that filtered the solution using 0.45-micron syringe filter and Sonicated for 5min and dilute to 100 ml with mobile phase. Further dilutions are prepared in 5 replicates of 100µg/ml of ULIPRISTAL was made by adding 1 ml of stock solution to 10 ml of mobile phase.

Tablet sample

20 tablets (each tablet contains 10mg of ULIPRISTAL) were weighed and taken into a mortar and crushed to fine powder and uniformly mixed. Tablet stock solutions of ULIPRISTAL (µg/ml) were

prepared by dissolving weight equivalent to 100mg of ULIPRISTAL and dissolved in sufficient mobile phase. After that filtered the solution using 0.45-micron syringe filter and Sonicated for 5 min and dilute to 100ml with mobile phase. Further dilutions are prepared in 5 replicates of 100µg/ml of ULIPRISTAL was made by adding 1 ml of stock solution to 10 ml of mobile phase.

Procedure:

 20μ L of the standard, sample are injected into the chromatographic system and the areas for peaks are measured and the %Assay are calculated by using the formulae.

METHOD:

The developed chromatographic method was validated for system suitability, linearity accuracy, precision, ruggedness and robustness as per ICH guidelines.

System suitability parameters: To evaluate system suitability parameters such as retention time, tailing factor and USP theoretical plate count, the mobile phase was allowed to flow through the column at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min for 8 minutes to equilibrate the column at ambient temperature. Chromatographic separation was achieved by injecting a volume of 20 μ L of standard into Zodiac ODS, RP-18,250×4.6mm ID, 5 μ m Particle size, the mobile phase of composition phosphate buffer (pH 3.0) : Acetonitrile in the ratio of 30:70 (% v/v) was allowed to flow through the column at a flow rate of 1.0 ml per minute. Retention time, tailing factor and USP theoretical plate count of the developed method are shown in table 1.

Assay of pharmaceutical formulation: The proposed validated method was successfully applied to determine Ulipristal in tablet dosage form. The result obtained for was comparable with the corresponding labeled amounts and they were shown in Table-2.

Validation of Analytical method:

Linearity: Ulipristal working standard solutions were prepared across the range of the analytical method with a minimum of 5 concentrations that are within the specified range 60-140 μ g/ml for 5 replicating injections were taken and calculated the %RSD. Inject each level into the chromatographic system and measure the peak area. Plot a graph of peak area versus concentration (on X-axis concentration and on Y-axis Peak area) and calculate the correlation coefficient. The results are shown in table 3.

Accuracy studies: The accuracy was determined by

help of recovery study. The recovery method carried out at three level 80%, 100%,120%. Inject the standard solutions into chromatographic system. Calculate the Amount found and Amount added for Ulipristal and calculate the individual recovery and mean recovery values. The results are shown in table 4.

Precision Studies: The system precision of the test method was performed by injecting 6 replicate determination of standard preparation injections were injected and the % RSD was calculated. The %RSD for the area of six replicate injections was found. The results are shown in table 5.

Ruggedness: To evaluate the intermediate precision (also known as Ruggedness) of the method, Precision was performed on different Analyst by using different make column of same dimensions. The results are shown in table 6.

Robustness: Robustness of assay method was carried out with variation of flow rate. Standard preparation was prepared and performed analysis as per test method and evaluated the system suitability parameters. As part of the Robustness, deliberate change in the Flow rate, Mobile Phase composition was made to evaluate the impact on the method. The results are shown in table 7.

LOD and LOQ: The sensitivity of RP-HPLC was determined from LOD and LOQ. Which were calculated from the calibration curve using the following equations as per ICH guidelines. The results are shown in table 8.

 $LOD = 3.3\sigma/S$ and $LOQ = 10 \sigma/S$, where σ = Standard deviation of y intercept of regression line, S = Slope of the calibration curve

Forced degradation studies

The forced degradation study is considered a vital analytical aspect of the drug development program for small molecules. Forced degradation, commonly known as stress testing, The ICH definition of stress testing for the drug product is "studies undertaken to assess the effect to severe conditions on the drug product. Such studies include photo stability testing and specific testing on certain products like metered dose inhalers, creams, emulsions etc. As per FDA guideline "Stability is defined as the capacity of a drug substance or drug product to remain within established specifications to maintain its identity, strength, quality, and purity throughout the retest or expiration dating periods". The results are shown in





	Tuble	. System suitability	purumeters	
Injection	Retention time (min)	Peak area	Theoretical plates (TP)	Tailing factor (TF)
1	5.50	870.251	4489	1.890
2	5.49	875.623	4485	1.890
3	5.48	873.529	4427	1.896
4	5.50	874.123	4434	1.876
5	5.49	873.263	4434	1.890
6	5.49	874.103	4419	1.894
Mean	2.2182	875.236	-	-
SD	0.0361	21.537	-	-
%RSD	1.63	1.05	-	-

Table 1:	System	suitability	parameters
I ante I a	. Dystem	Sultantity	parameters

Table 2: Assay results for Ulipristal

ULIPRISTAL		
	Standard Area	Sample Area
Injection-1	873.254	874.316
Injection-2	875.134	871.445
Injection-3	875.177	874.919
Injection-4	411.533	875.134
Injection-5	868.506	873.263
Average Area	877.791	877.386
Tablet average weight	80.2 mg	
Standard weight	100.1 mg	
Sample weight	800.094 mg	
Label amount	10 mg	
std. purity	99.25	
Amount found in mg	9.96 mg	
Assay(%purity)	99.62 %	

Table 3: Linearity results of Ulipristal

S.No.	Conc.(µg/ml)	Area
1	60	443.806
2	80	631.638
3	100	809.924
4	120	1001.338
5	140	1208.208



Figure 5: Linearity graph for Ulipristal

Table 4: Showing accuracy results for Ulipristal

Recovery	Accuracy ULIPRISTAL					Average %
level	Amount	Area	Average area	Amount	%Recovery	Recovery
	taken(mcg/ml)		_	recovered(mcg/ml)		
80%	100	806.587	804 784	101 93	101.93	
	100		004.704	101.93	101.75	
		807.258				
	100	800.506				
100%	120	977.637				100.29%
	120		974.915	120.60	100.50	100.2970
		983.452				
	120	963.655				
120%	140	1185.399				
	140	1193.566	1185.984	142.13	101.52	
	140	1177.583				

Table 5: Precision results for Ulipristal

ULIPRISTAL				
S.No.	Rt	Area		
1	5.55	869.97		
2	5.55	887.077		
3	5.537	886.968		
4	5.517	889.723		
5	5.480	870.553		
6	5.520	877.263		
avg	5.5257	880.259		
stdev	0.0265	8.833		
%RSD	0.48	1.00		

Table 6. Ruggedness results of Ulipristal

ULIPRISTAL	%Assay
Analyst 01	99.73
Analysts 02	99.80
%RSD	0.05%

Robustness results

Table 7: Flow variation and Wavelength results for Ulipristal

	ULIPRISTAL				
Parameter		Retention time(min)		Tailing factor	
Flow Rate					
0.8 ml/min		9.631		1.854	
Wavelength					
297nm		5.493		1.850	
Table 8: LOD, LOQ of Ulipristal					
Drug	LOI	D		LOQ	
Ulipristal	2.18	3		6.59	

Table 9: Forced degradation studies of Ulipristal

Name of the Degradation	Condition	Peak Purity	Peak Purity Value	%Assay
Thermal Degradation	60°C/7Days	PASS	+	98.05
PhotolyticDegradation	1.2mill/LUX Hours	PASS	+	98.06
Acid Degradation	5mL of 3N HCl/4Hrs at	PASS	+	99.96
Base Degradation	5mL of 3N NaOH	PASS	+	99.94
Peroxide Degradation	5mL of 10% H ₂ O ₂ /4Hrs at Bench top	PASS	+	99.03

CONCLUSION:

From the above experimental results and parameters it was concluded that, this newly developed method for the simultaneous estimation of Ulipristal was found to be simple, precise, accurate and high resolution and shorter retention time makes this method more acceptable and cost effective and it can be effectively applied for routine analysis in research institutions, quality control department in meant in industries, approved testing laboratories, biopharmaceutical and bio-equivalence studies and in clinical pharmacokinetic studies in near future.

REFERENCES:

- Rosato E, Farris M, Bastianelli C: Mechanism of Action of Ulipristal Acetate for Emergency Contraception: A Systematic Review. Front Pharmacol. 2016 Jan 12;6:315. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2015.00315. eCollection 2015.
- Mozzanega B, Nardelli GB: UPA and LNG in emergency contraception: the information by EMA and the scientific evidences indicate a prevalent anti-implantation effect. Eur J

Contracept Reprod Health Care. 2019 Jan 18:1-7. doi: 10.1080/13625187.2018.1555662.

- Lira-Albarran S, Durand M, Barrera D, Vega C, Becerra RG, Diaz L, Garcia-Quiroz J, Rangel C, Larrea F: A single preovulatory administration of ulipristal acetate affects the decidualization process of the human endometrium during the receptive period of the menstrual cycle. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2018 Nov 15;476:70-78. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2018.04.010. Epub 2018 Apr 27.
- Lira-Albarran S, Durand M, Larrea-Schiavon MF, Gonzalez L, Barrera D, Vega C, Gamboa-Dominguez A, Rangel C, Larrea F: Ulipristal acetate administration at mid-cycle changes gene expression profiling of endometrial biopsies taken during the receptive period of the human menstrual cycle. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2017 May 15;447:1-11. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2017.02.024. Epub 2017 Feb 20.
- 5. Rao, A. L., Alimunnisa, D., Lakshmi, G. V. J.,

Vani, V.B. N. 2019. Development and validation of sta-bility indicating HPLC method for the determina-tion of ulipristal acetate in pharmaceutical dosageform.International Journal of Research in AYUSHand Pharmaceutical Sciences, 3(2):321–327.

- Shi, J. X., Zhu, F. Y., Zou, Q. G., Sun, L. L., Wei, P. 2014.Determination of Ulipristal Acetate and its relatedsubstances by HPLC.Chinese Journal of New Drugs,7:839– 842.
- Shobharani, S., Mondal, P., Ramakrishna, R. 2014.A New Stability Indicating Quantitative RP-HPLCMethod For Blonanserin-A Novel AntipsychoticAgent.Indo Am J Pharm Res, 4(4):1968–1976.3098© International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences
- 8. Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology Q2(R1). International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use. Available from:

https://www.database.ich.org/sites/default/file s/Q2%28R1%29%20 Guideline.pdf. [Last accessed on 2020 Feb 08].