CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB ISSN : 2349-7750

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

SJIF Impact Factor: 7.187

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17804819

Available online at: http://www.iajps.com Review Article

PHYTOMEDICINES WITH ANTIBACTERIAL POTENTIAL: FORMULATION, MECHANISMS, AND CLINICAL PROSPECTS

Pravina Pandurang Ayare[1*], Gadhire Dhanshree Baliram[2], Divya Mahadev Borade[3], Ghadage Swapnali Dhanaji[4], Prachiti Vasant Katkar[5], Gauri Shashikant Shete[6]

[1*,4,5]Shri Vithal Education & Research Institute's (SVERI's) College of Pharmacy, Gopalpur - Ranjani Road, Gopalpur Rd, Pandharpur, Maharashtra 413304

[2]Sahyadri College of Pharmacy, Methwade Tal, Sangola, Maharashtra 413317

[3]SVERI's, College of Pharmacy, (Poly.) Gopalpur -Ranjani Road, Gopalpur Rd, Pandharpur, Maharashtra 413304

[6]Dalit Mitra Kadam Guruji Collage of Pharmacy, Manglwedha, Maharashtra 413305

Abstract:

The rising global challenge of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has intensified the search for novel therapeutic alternatives with broader efficacy and enhanced safety profiles. Phytomedicines—bioactive formulations derived from medicinal plants—have gained significant attention due to their potent antibacterial activities, rich phytochemical diversity, and minimal side-effects compared to synthetic antibiotics. This review comprehensively discusses the antibacterial potential of phytomedicines by exploring their botanical sources, phytochemical constituents, pharmacognostic features, and physicochemical characteristics. The mechanisms of antibacterial action, including membrane disruption, enzyme inhibition, efflux pump suppression, and quorum-sensing interference, are elaborated in detail. The review further highlights advances in formulation strategies such as nanoemulsions, liposomes, phytosomes, hydrogels, and polymeric nanoparticles designed to improve solubility, stability, bioavailability, and targeted drug delivery. Pharmacological investigations, toxicity evaluations, traditional uses, and contemporary clinical prospects are presented along with an extensive literature survey. Overall, phytomedicines emerge as promising antibacterial agents capable of complementing or replacing conventional therapies, providing a valuable platform for the development of next-generation antimicrobial therapeutics.

Keywords: *Phytomedicines, antibacterial activity, phytochemicals, microbial resistance, plant extracts, formulation strategies, mechanism of action, clinical applications, nanoformulations, ethnomedicine.*

Corresponding author:

Pravina Pandurang Ayare,

Shri Vithal Education & Research Institute's,
(SVERI's) College of Pharmacy,
Gopalpur -Ranjani Road, Gopalpur
Rd, Pandharpur, Maharashtra 413304
Email ID: pravinaayare2003@gmail.com

QR CODE

Please cite this article in press Pravina Pandurang Ayare et al., ***Phytomedicines With Antibacterial Potential: Formulation, Mechanisms, And Clinical Prospects****, Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2025; 12(12).*

INTRODUCTION:

The global burden of bacterial infections continues to escalate due to the rapid emergence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) pathogens. Conventional antibiotics are increasingly ineffective, prompting a growing interest in plant-based antibacterial agents commonly referred to as phytomedicines. These natural therapeutics possess a wide array of bioactive compounds—such as alkaloids, flavonoids, phenolics, tannins, saponins, glycosides, terpenoids, and essential oils—that exhibit robust antimicrobial efficacy.

Historically, plants have served as therapeutic resources for infectious diseases across civilizations, forming the foundation of traditional medicine systems. Phytomedicines offer advantages including multi-targeted mechanisms, reduced likelihood of resistance development, biocompatibility, and lower toxicity. Advanced pharmaceutical technologies have further enhanced their clinical potential through improved formulation designs that optimize solubility, penetration, stability, and controlled release.

This review consolidates the current understanding of antibacterial phytomedicines by exploring botanical descriptions, phytochemical profiles, mechanisms of action, formulation advancements, in-vitro/in-vivo pharmacological activities, toxicity considerations, and clinical perspectives. A comprehensive tabulation of existing literature supports the emerging role of phytomedicines as future antibacterial therapeutics.

BOTANICAL DESCRIPTION OF MAJOR ANTIBACTERIAL MEDICINAL PLANTS

Medicinal plants with significant antibacterial activity include *Azadirachta indica*, *Aloe vera*, *Ocimum sanctum*, *Curcuma longa*, *Allium sativum*, *Zingiber officinale*, *Eucalyptus globulus*, *Cinnamomum zeylanicum*, *Nigella sativa*, and others. Below is a concise botanical description.

Table 1. Botanical Description of Key Antibacterial Plants

Plant Name	Family	Morphology	Useful Parts	Distinctive Features
Azadirachta indica	Meliaceae	Evergreen tree with serrated leaves	Leaves, bark, seeds	Rich in limonoids; strong antibacterial
Curcuma longa	Zingiberaceae	Rhizomatous herb, bright yellow roots	Rhizomes	High curcumin content
Allium sativum	Amaryllidaceae	Bulbous perennial herb	Bulbs	Contains allicin, potent antimicrobial
Ocimum sanctum	Lamiaceae	Aromatic herb, hairy stems	Leaves	High eugenol and ursolic acid
Nigella sativa	Ranunculaceae	Herbaceous plant	Seeds	Thymoquinone-rich

PHYTOCHEMICAL PROFILE

Phytochemicals are responsible for the antibacterial properties of medicinal plants. These include:

Major Categories of Antibacterial Phytochemicals

- Alkaloids: berberine, piperine, harmine
- Flavonoids: quercetin, kaempferol, apigenin
- Phenolic acids: gallic acid, caffeic acid
- Tannins: condensed and hydrolysable
- Terpenoids: thymol, carvacrol, eugenol
- Saponins: membrane-disruptive activity
- Essential oils: cinnamaldehyde, menthol, limonene

Table 2. Major Phytochemicals and Their Antibacterial Function

Phytochemical Class	Representative Compounds	Antibacterial Mechanism
Alkaloids	Berberine, morphine, piperine	DNA intercalation, enzyme inhibition
Flavonoids	Quercetin, catechin	Membrane disruption, inhibition of nucleic acid synthesis
Phenolics	Gallic acid, ferulic acid	Protein precipitation, oxidative stress
Terpenoids	Thymol, eugenol	Cell wall lysis, membrane destabilization
Saponins	Dioscin, glycyrrhizin	Membrane pore formation

PHARMACOGNOSTIC AND PHYSICOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS

- Pharmacognostic evaluation ensures plant identity, purity, and quality.
- Pharmacognostic Parameters
- Macroscopy: color, odor, taste, surface texture
- Microscopy: stomata type, trichomes, vascular bundles
- Foreign matter test
- Ash values (total, acid-insoluble, sulfate ash)
- Extractive values (alcohol, water soluble)
- Fluorescence analysis
- Moisture content
- Physicochemical Parameters
- pH of extracts
- Solubility profile
- Viscosity
- Volatile oil content
- Refractive index (essential oils)
- Particle size (extract-based formulations)

Table 3. Standard Pharmacognostic Values for Antibacterial Plants

Parameter	Range (Typical)	Importance
Moisture content	5–12%	Prevents microbial growth
Total ash	4–18%	Indicates mineral matter
Acid-insoluble ash	<2%	Reflects contamination
Water extractive	10–30%	Polarity-based phytochemical content
Alcohol extractive	6–25%	Phenolics/flavonoids solubility

TRADITIONAL AND ETHNOMEDICINAL USES

Plants have been traditionally used to treat:

- Skin infections
- Wounds
- Gastrointestinal infections
- Respiratory infections
- Urinary tract infections
- Oral microbial diseases

Fever and inflammatory conditions

Traditional medicine systems—Ayurveda, Siddha, Unani, Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM), and African ethnomedicine—document extensive antibacterial uses of plants.

PHARMACOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES (ANTIBACTERIAL)

Phytomedicines exhibit antibacterial action against:

1. *Staphylococcus aureus*
2. *Escherichia coli*
3. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
4. *Klebsiella pneumoniae*
5. *Streptococcus pyogenes*
6. *Bacillus subtilis*
7. MDR strains

Table 4. Antibacterial Activities of Selected Phytomedicines

Plant	Extract Type	Target Bacteria	Method	Result
Azadirachta indica	Ethanolic	*S. aureus, E. coli*	Agar diffusion	Significant inhibition zones
Curcuma longa	Methanolic	*P. aeruginosa*	MIC, MBC	MIC 125–500 µg/mL
Allium sativum	Aqueous	MDR bacteria	Broth dilution	bactericidal effect
Ocimum sanctum	Essential oil	Gram+ & Gram-	Disc diffusion	Strong inhibition
Nigella sativa	Hexane	*K. pneumoniae*	MIC	Reduction of bacterial counts

MECHANISMS OF ANTIBACTERIAL ACTION

Phytomedicines exhibit antibacterial activity through multiple complementary and synergistic mechanisms. Unlike conventional antibiotics that typically act on a single cellular target, plant-derived compounds often exert broad-spectrum effects on bacterial physiology, leading to reduced chances of developing resistance. These mechanisms include inhibition of cell wall synthesis, disruption of cell membrane integrity, interference with nucleic acid function, suppression of protein synthesis, efflux pump modulation, and inhibition of quorum sensing pathways responsible for bacterial virulence and biofilm formation. Together, these actions significantly compromise bacterial survival, proliferation, and pathogenicity, making phytomedicines highly valuable in managing resistant infections.

Cell Wall Synthesis Inhibition

One of the primary antibacterial mechanisms of phytochemicals involves the inhibition of bacterial cell wall synthesis. Many plant-derived compounds interfere with the production of peptidoglycan, the main structural component that provides strength and rigidity to bacterial cell walls. By blocking peptidoglycan formation, these phytoconstituents weaken the bacterial cell structure, making it more susceptible to osmotic lysis. Specific plant tannins have been shown to inhibit β-lactamase enzymes produced by resistant bacteria. β-lactamase enzymes degrade β-lactam antibiotics, enabling bacterial survival. Tannins bind to and inactivate these enzymes, thereby restoring antibiotic susceptibility and enhancing the overall antibacterial effect. Through these mechanisms, phytomedicines effectively disrupt the bacterial cell wall, leading to rapid cell death.

Cell Membrane Disruption

Phytochemicals, particularly essential oils and terpenoids, exert potent antibacterial effects by targeting the bacterial cell membrane. Essential oils are lipophilic and readily integrate into the phospholipid bilayers of bacterial membranes. Once inserted, they disturb membrane fluidity, disrupt lipid packing, and compromise membrane integrity. Such disruption increases membrane permeability, allowing essential ions and cellular contents to leak out. This uncontrolled efflux leads to metabolic dysfunction, impaired respiration, and eventually cell lysis. Compounds like thymol, eugenol, and carvacrol exhibit strong membrane-disrupting effects against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Membrane destabilization is considered a rapid and irreversible antibacterial mechanism, making essential oil–rich phytomedicines highly effective.

DNA and RNA Interference

Several phytochemicals act directly on bacterial genetic material, leading to interference with DNA and RNA processes essential for bacterial replication and survival. Alkaloids such as berberine, harmine, and sanguinarine possess strong DNA intercalating properties. These compounds insert themselves between DNA base pairs, disrupting replication, transcription, and repair processes. Additionally, flavonoids such as quercetin and apigenin inhibit topoisomerase enzymes responsible for DNA supercoiling and unwinding. Inhibition of these enzymes stalls DNA replication, prevents chromosome segregation, and ultimately leads to bacterial cell death. These mechanisms highlight the ability of phytomedicines to target bacterial nucleic acids, offering an effective means of inhibiting bacterial growth.

Protein Synthesis Inhibition

Another crucial mechanism by which phytomedicines exhibit antibacterial activity is the inhibition of bacterial protein synthesis. Certain phytochemicals, including specific alkaloids and phenolics, can attach to bacterial ribosomal subunits, thereby preventing the assembly of functional ribosomes. This interference blocks the translation of mRNA into essential bacterial proteins required for growth, metabolism, and reproduction. Without functional proteins, bacteria cannot maintain structural integrity, regulate metabolism, or replicate DNA. This mechanism acts in a manner similar to conventional antibiotics like macrolides or tetracyclines but offers the advantage of reduced resistance due to multi-targeted effects.

Efflux Pump Modulation

Efflux pumps in bacteria act as defense mechanisms, expelling antibiotics and toxic compounds to maintain intracellular homeostasis. Many multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria overexpress these efflux pumps, reducing intracellular drug concentrations and limiting antibiotic effectiveness. Phytochemicals such as berberine and specific flavonoids have been shown to inhibit these efflux pump systems. By blocking pump activity, phytomedicines increase the intracellular retention of antibacterial agents—both natural and synthetic—thereby enhancing their potency. This synergistic action makes phytomedicines promising adjuncts to conventional antibiotics in treating resistant infections.

Quorum Sensing Inhibition

Quorum sensing (QS) is a bacterial communication system that regulates virulence factor production, biofilm formation, and antibiotic resistance. Many pathogenic bacteria rely on QS to coordinate infection processes. Phytochemicals, particularly

polyphenols and essential oil constituents, have been demonstrated to inhibit QS pathways. By blocking QS signals, these compounds prevent the formation of biofilms—protective bacterial communities highly resistant to antibiotics—and reduce the expression of virulence genes. This disruption weakens bacterial pathogenicity and enhances host immune clearance. QS inhibition is considered a major advantage of phytomedicines, as it targets bacterial behavior rather than survival, reducing the chance of resistance development.

FORMULATION STRATEGIES FOR ANTIBACTERIAL PHYTOMEDICINES

The therapeutic potential of phytomedicines is often limited by issues such as poor solubility, weak stability, rapid degradation, and low bioavailability. Modern pharmaceutical formulation strategies address these limitations and significantly enhance the clinical applicability of plant-derived antibacterial compounds. Advanced delivery systems such as nanoemulsions, liposomes, phytosomes, polymeric nanoparticles, hydrogels, and creams improve the solubility, permeation, retention, and targeted delivery of phytochemicals. These formulations not only increase antibacterial activity but also provide sustained release, reduced toxicity, and enhanced patient compliance. The integration of nanotechnology further broadens the scope of phytomedicines by enabling site-specific delivery and minimizing systemic side effects.

Nanoemulsions

Nanoemulsions are submicron emulsified systems that encapsulate phytochemicals within oil–water interfaces. They enhance the solubility and dispersibility of poorly soluble compounds, significantly improving their absorption and antibacterial efficacy. Due to their small droplet size, nanoemulsions exhibit superior permeability, allowing phytochemicals to penetrate bacterial cell walls more efficiently. Additionally, nanoemulsions protect bioactive compounds from degradation, ensuring improved stability and prolonged shelf-life. For example, neem oil nanoemulsions exhibit stronger antimicrobial activity due to enhanced diffusion into bacterial cells.

Phytosomes

Phytosomes represent a unique formulation technology in which phytochemicals are bound to phospholipids, forming complexes that exhibit enhanced bioavailability. Many polyphenols and flavonoids have poor oral absorption due to low solubility and instability in the gastrointestinal tract. By forming a phytosome complex, these compounds gain improved membrane permeability and enhanced systemic delivery. Quercetin phytosomes, for instance, show significantly higher solubility, bioavailability, and antibacterial effects compared to conventional extracts.

Liposomes

Liposomes are spherical vesicles composed of phospholipid bilayers that encapsulate phytochemicals within their aqueous core or lipid layers. They protect unstable phytoconstituents from oxidation, degradation, and enzymatic inactivation. Liposomes enable controlled and targeted drug delivery, particularly beneficial for antibacterial therapies where localized concentration is essential. Curcumin-liposome formulations exhibit increased stability and stronger antimicrobial activity due to enhanced cellular uptake.

Polymeric Nanoparticles

Polymeric nanoparticles are solid colloidal carriers capable of entrapping or adsorbing phytochemicals. These systems offer sustained release, improved stability, and enhanced antimicrobial action. Nanoparticles can evade biological barriers and deliver phytoconstituents directly to infection sites, reducing systemic toxicity. Their ability to release drugs slowly over time ensures consistent antibacterial activity, making them ideal for chronic and resistant infections.

Hydrogels and Creams

Hydrogels and creams are semisolid formulations widely used in topical antibacterial therapy. They provide a moist environment conducive to wound healing while simultaneously delivering phytoconstituents to infected tissues. Aloe vera gel and other plant-based hydrogel formulations exhibit strong antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory properties, making them valuable in dermatological applications, burn management, and infected wound care. These formulations enhance patient comfort and offer easy application.

Table 5. Advanced Formulation Approaches

Formulation	Examples	Advantages
Nanoemulsions	Neem oil NE	Increased permeability
Liposomes	Curcumin liposomes	Improved stability
Phytosomes	Quercetin phytosomes	High solubility
Hydrogels	Aloe vera gel	Wound healing + antimicrobial

TOXICITY PROFILE

Although phytomedicines are generally considered safe and well tolerated, their toxicity profile must be carefully evaluated to ensure safe therapeutic use. Toxicity may arise from intrinsic phytochemicals present at high concentrations, contamination from environmental pollutants, improper processing, or overuse of herbal preparations. Therefore, understanding acute toxicity, chronic toxicity, in-vitro cytotoxicity, and safety considerations is essential for the rational development of plant-based antibacterials.

Acute Toxicity

Acute toxicity refers to adverse effects that occur shortly after ingestion or exposure to high doses of phytochemicals. Certain alkaloids may exhibit neurotoxic effects when consumed in excessive quantities, leading to symptoms such as tremors, restlessness, or convulsions. Similarly, essential oils, while effective antimicrobials, may cause irritation or allergic reactions when applied topically in concentrated form. These observations emphasize the importance of dose optimization and formulation design.

Chronic Toxicity

Chronic toxicity arises from prolonged exposure to phytomedicines. Although rare, some plants like *Piper methysticum* (kava) have been associated with hepatotoxicity due to long-term consumption. Herbal preparations contaminated with heavy metals due to polluted soil are another concern, as metals like lead, arsenic, and mercury can accumulate in human tissues and cause organ damage. Hence, strict quality control and source verification are critical.

In-vitro Cytotoxicity

In-vitro cytotoxicity studies help determine the selective toxicity of phytochemicals toward bacteria while sparing human cells. Many phytomedicines exhibit dose-dependent cytotoxicity, meaning that at therapeutic concentrations they selectively inhibit bacterial cells without affecting mammalian cells. Such studies are essential before advancing to in-vivo or clinical evaluations, ensuring that phytochemicals possess an acceptable safety margin.

Safety Considerations

Safety evaluation is indispensable for the successful translation of phytomedicines into clinical applications. Standardization of extracts, proper identification of plant species, absence of adulterants, and adherence to regulatory guidelines are crucial steps. Regulatory authorities such as the FDA, EMA, and AYUSH emphasize quality control, toxicity assessment, and validated manufacturing processes. Ensuring these parameters enhances the safety, efficacy, and acceptance of plant-based antibacterial therapies.

DISCUSSION:

Phytomedicines represent a versatile class of antibacterial agents due to their chemical diversity, synergistic action, and safety. However, limitations such as poor solubility, instability, and lack of standardization hinder commercial applications. Nanotechnology-based formulations solve many of these issues by enhancing penetration, prolonging release, and increasing target specificity.

Mechanistically, phytomedicines act on multiple bacterial targets, minimizing the risk of resistance development. Clinical studies, though limited, demonstrate strong therapeutic potential in skin infections, oral diseases, wound healing, and gastrointestinal infections.

Future research should focus on clinical trials, quality control, purification of active compounds, and regulatory frameworks for safe integration into mainstream medicine.

CONCLUSION:

Phytomedicines with antibacterial potential offer promising natural alternatives to conventional antibiotics. Their rich phytochemical composition, multi-targeted mechanisms, favorable safety profiles, and compatibility with modern formulation technologies make them strong candidates for next-generation antimicrobial therapies. Continued advancements in pharmacognosy, analytical techniques, nanotechnology, and clinical testing will enable their successful translation into effective therapeutic agents against resistant pathogens.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERECNES:

1. Cowan MM. Plant products as antimicrobial agents. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1999;12(4):564–82.
2. Nostro A, Blanco AR, Cannatelli MA, Enea V, Flamini G, Morelli I, et al. Susceptibility of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* to oregano essential oil. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2004;22(5):481–7.
3. Sharma A, Chandrul K. A review on medicinal plants exhibiting antibacterial activity. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2013;2(3):98–102.
4. Fabricant DS, Farnsworth NR. The value of plants used in traditional medicine for drug discovery. Environ Health Perspect. 2001;109 Suppl 1:69–75.

5. Kuete V. Potential of Cameroonian plants and derived products against microbial infections: a review. Planta Med. 2010;76(14):1479–91.
6. Nascimento GG, Locatelli J, Freitas PC, Silva GL. Antibacterial activity of plant extracts and phytochemicals on antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Braz J Microbiol. 2000;31(4):247–56.
7. Kalemba D, Kunicka A. Antibacterial and antifungal properties of essential oils. Curr Med Chem. 2003;10(10):813–29.
8. Ríos J, Recio MC. Medicinal plants and antimicrobial activity. J Ethnopharmacol. 2005;100(1–2):80–4.
9. Parekh J, Chanda S. In-vitro antibacterial activity of the crude methanol extract of *Woodfordia fruticosa* Kurz. Indian J Pharmacol. 2007;39(4):203–7.
10. Hemaiswarya S, Kruthiventi AK, Doble M. Synergism between natural products and antibiotics against infectious diseases. Phytomedicine. 2008;15(8):639–52.
11. Cushnie TT, Lamb AJ. Antimicrobial activity of flavonoids. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2005;26(5):343–56.
12. Cushnie TT, Cushnie B, Lamb AJ. Alkaloids: An overview of their antibacterial, antibiotic-enhancing and antivirulence activities. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2014;44(5):377–86.
13. Tenover FC. Mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria. Am J Med. 2006;119(6 Suppl 1):S3–10.
14. WHO. Antimicrobial Resistance Global Report. World Health Organization. 2014.
15. Evans WC. Trease and Evans Pharmacognosy. 16th ed. Saunders; 2009.
16. Ekwenye UN, Elegalam NN. Antibacterial activity of *Garcinia kola* seed extracts on *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhi*. Afr J Biotechnol. 2005;4(10):949–52.
17. Burt S. Essential oils: antibacterial properties and potential applications. Int J Food Microbiol. 2004;94(3):223–53.
18. Sharifi-Rad J, Soufi L, et al. Antibacterial activity of selected medicinal plants. Cell Mol Biol. 2016;62(10):29–35.
19. Dias DA, Urban S, Roessner U. A historical overview of natural products in drug discovery. Metabolites. 2012;2(2):303–36.
20. Singh R, Shushni MA, Belkheir A. Antibacterial and antioxidant activities of methanol extract and fractions of *Teucrium polium* aerial parts. J Appl Pharm Sci. 2015;5(3):071–6.
21. Ahmad I, Aqil F. In vitro efficacy of bioactive extracts of 15 medicinal plants against ESβL-producing multidrug-resistant enteric bacteria. Microbiol Res. 2007;162(3):264–75.
22. Saleem M, Nazir M, et al. Phytochemical profile and biological activities of medicinal plants. Molecules. 2018;23(3):612.
23. Moghadamtousi SZ, et al. Phytochemistry and pharmacological properties of *Ficus carica*. Biomed Res Int. 2015;2015:673–84.
24. Gupta VK, Verma S, et al. Antibacterial efficacy of medicinal plants. Int J Drug Dev Res. 2010;2(2):201–8.
25. Houghton PJ. Use of small bioassays in the isolation of active compounds. Planta Med. 2000;66(8):689–95.
26. Aiyegoro OA, Okoh AI. Use of bioactive plant products in combination with standard antibiotics. Int J Mol Sci. 2009;10(6):2676–85.
27. Tiwari T, Dubey R. Phytomedicine: An emerging alternative to conventional antibiotics. J Pharm Res. 2012;5(1):138–45.
28. Essawi T, Srour M. Screening of some Palestinian medicinal plants for antibacterial activity. J Ethnopharmacol. 2000;70(3):343–9.
29. Srinivasan D, et al. Antimicrobial activity of Indian medicinal plants. J Ethnopharmacol. 2001;74(3):217–20.
30. Shariff N, Sudarshana MS, et al. Antimicrobial activity of selected medicinal plants. J Nat Remedies. 2001;1(1):72–80.
31. Gupta M, Thakur R, et al. Antimicrobial properties of *Ocimum sanctum*. Indian J Exp Biol. 2002;40:765–73.
32. Duraipandiyan V, Ayyanar M, Ignacimuthu S. Antimicrobial activity of medicinal plants from Western Ghats. J Ethnopharmacol. 2006;106(1):158–62.
33. Scalbert A. Antimicrobial properties of tannins. Phytochemistry. 1991;30(12):3875–83.
34. Prabuseenivasan S, Jayakumar M, Ignacimuthu S. Antibacterial activity of Indian essential oils. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2006;6(1):39.
35. Banso A, Adeyemo S. Evaluation of antibacterial properties of tannins. Afr J Biotechnol. 2007;6(15):1785–7.
36. Bag A, et al. Antibacterial activity of *Terminalia chebula*. Indian J Med Res. 2009;129(4):427–31.
37. Baser KHC, Buchbauer G. Handbook of Essential Oils. CRC Press; 2010.
38. Valgas C, Souza S, et al. Screening methods for antimicrobial activity evaluation. Braz J Microbiol. 2007;38:369–80.
39. Shelef LA. Antimicrobial effects of spices. J Food Safety. 1983;6:29–44.
40. Kumar S, et al. Antibacterial screening of plant extracts. J Nat Prod. 2012;5:64–8.
41. Das K, Tiwari RK, Shrivastava DK. Techniques for evaluation of medicinal plant antimicrobial activity. Int J Green Pharm. 2010;4(2):95–104.
42. Yadava RN, et al. Antibacterial activity of flavonoids. Indian Drugs. 2004;41(9):543–6.

43. Yang J, et al. Quercetin antibacterial activity. Food Chem. 2016;206:246–52.
44. Cushnie TT, Lamb AJ. Recent advances in flavonoid antibacterial mechanisms. Microbiol Res. 2011;166(9):676–89.
45. Bakkali F, et al. Biological effects of essential oils. Food Chem Toxicol. 2008;46(2):446–75.
46. Kamatou G, Viljoen A. Chemical composition and biological activity of cinnamon oils. Food Chem. 2010;128:735–43.
47. Burt S. Essential oils and food preservation. Int J Food Microbiol. 2007;119:207–23.
48. Hammer KA, Carson CF, Riley TV. Antimicrobial activity of tea tree oil. J Appl Microbiol. 1999;86:985–90.
49. Saeed S, Tariq P. Antibacterial activity of *Nigella sativa* oil. Pak J Bot. 2005;37(2): 255–8.
50. Horie S, et al. Antibacterial effects of garlic. Planta Med. 1992;58(2):185–7.
51. Ankri S, Mirelman D. Antimicrobial properties of allicin. Microbes Infect. 1999;1(2):125–9.
52. Banerjee SK, et al. Biological activities of garlic. Curr Sci. 2003;85(11):1568–81.
53. Dorman HJ, Deans SG. Antimicrobial agents from plants: antibacterial activity of plant volatile oils. J Appl Microbiol. 2000;88(2):308–16.
54. Nair MKM, et al. Antibacterial activity of spices against pathogens. J Food Prot. 2004;67:1359–63.
55. Chattopadhyay D, et al. Antibacterial activity of *Alstonia scholaris*. J Ethnopharmacol. 2001;77:49–52.
56. Gupta S, et al. Wound healing and antimicrobial activity of plant extracts. J Ethnopharmacol. 2011;134:1122–6.
57. Jain S, et al. Nanotechnology and herbal drug delivery. J Pharm Sci. 2010;99(7):2540–52.
58. Chang YX, et al. Nanocarriers for phytochemicals. Drug Deliv. 2017;24(1):1–13.
59. Kundu S, et al. Phytomedicine-based nanoparticles. J Controlled Release. 2020;325:165–87.
60. Ghosh V, et al. Essential oil nanoemulsions. Colloids Surf B. 2013;104:130–7.
61. Araujo J, et al. Curcumin-loaded nanoparticles. J Pharm Sci. 2010;99(2):805–17.
62. Shaikh J, et al. Neem oil nanoemulsion. Int J Pharm. 2009;370(1–2):91–7.
63. Mukherjee PK, et al. Phytosome formulation: a novel approach. Phytomedicine. 2005;12(6–7):425–32.
64. Ashraf SA, et al. Plant-derived antimicrobial nanoparticles. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2018;102:6795–809.
65. Yallapu MM, et al. Curcumin nanoformulations. Pharmacol Ther. 2012;137:17–37.
66. Moghimi SM, et al. Liposomal drug delivery. Pharmacol Rev. 2001;53:283–318.
67. Allen TM, Cullis PR. Liposomal therapeutics. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2013;65:36–48.
68. Deepika G, et al. Herbal gel formulations. J Pharm Bioallied Sci. 2017;9:1–5.
69. Tribhuvan P, et al. Polymeric nanoparticles for antibacterial phytomedicines. Mater Today Proc. 2020;29:1234–9.
70. Esimone CO, et al. Antibacterial synergy between plant extracts and antibiotics. Afr J Biotechnol. 2008;7(16):2837–9.
71. Adwan K, Mhanna M. Synergistic effects of plant extracts. J Med Microbiol. 2008;57:123–7.
72. Hemaiswarya S, Doble M. Synergistic combination therapies. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2010;85:659–77.
73. Perry NB, et al. Essential oils in traditional medicine. J Ethnopharmacol. 1996;49(2):103–8.
74. Deans SG, Ritchie G. Antibacterial properties of plant essential oils. Int J Food Microbiol. 1987;5:165–80.
75. Gibbons S. Anti-staphylococcal plant compounds. Phytochemistry. 2004;65:179–89.
76. Machado TB, et al. Antimicrobial activities of plant extracts. Microbiol Res. 2003;158:164–70.
77. Arora DS, Kaur J. Antimicrobial activity of spices. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 1999;12:257–62.
78. Walsh C. Molecular mechanisms of antibiotic action. Chem Rev. 2000;100:969–89.
79. Beyth N, et al. Plant-derived antibiofilm agents. Biofouling. 2008;24:29–35.
80. Savoia D. Plant-derived antimicrobial compounds. Future Microbiol. 2012;7:979–90.
81. Khandelwal KR. Practical Pharmacognosy. Nirali Prakashan; 2005.
82. Kokate CK. Pharmacognosy. Nirali Prakashan; 2008.
83. Harborne JB. Phytochemical Methods. Springer; 1998.
84. Eloff JN. Quantifying the bioactivity of plant extracts. Phytomedicine. 1998;5:305–9.
85. Andrews JM. Determination of MIC. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2001;48 Suppl 1:5–16.
86. CLSI. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests. 2012.
87. CLSI. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 2020.
88. NCCLS. Disk Diffusion Method Principles. 1997.
89. Houghton PJ, Raman A. Laboratory Handbook for Fractionation of Natural Extracts. Springer; 1998.
90. Klančnik A, et al. Anti-quorum sensing phytochemicals. Int J Food Microbiol. 2010;141 Suppl:S123–9.

91. Adonizio A, et al. Quorum sensing inhibition by medicinal plants. J Med Chem. 2006;49:5309–12.
92. Wright GD. Unlocking antibiotic resistance. Cell. 2010;141:11–3.
93. Brown ED, Wright GD. Targets for antibacterial therapies. Nature. 2016;529:336–43.
94. Chanda S, et al. Antimicrobial plants from ethnomedicine. J Ethnopharmacol. 2016;194:364–94.
95. Sharma A, et al. Medicinal plants for infectious diseases. J Ethnopharmacol. 2019;243:112–23.
96. Dhiman R, et al. Herbal antibacterial agents. Nat Prod Res. 2020;34:1–22.
97. Singh A, et al. Plant secondary metabolites. J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2015;25:1–10.
98. Goyal M, et al. An overview of antibacterial phytotherapies. Phytother Res. 2017;31:567–90.
99. Omojate GC, et al. Mechanisms of antibacterial action of phytochemicals. J Pharm Chem. 2014;8(1):1–20.
100. Borek M. Plant-based antimicrobials in food safety. Trends Food Sci Technol. 2019;85:22–33.
101. Salehi B, et al. Medicinal plants with antibacterial properties. Cell Mol Biol. 2020;66:1–19.
102. Shakya G. Medicinal plant diversity in antibacterial research. J App Pharm Sci. 2016;6:123–30.
103. Lahlou M. Essential oils and antibacterial action. Int J Aromather. 2004;14:90–101.
104. Suresh J, et al. Polyphenols in antibacterial drug discovery. Fitoterapia. 2018;128:272–9.
105. Blumenthal M. Herbal Medicine: Expanded Commission E Monographs. CRC Press; 2000.
106. Tarkang PA, et al. Toxicity of herbal remedies. J Ethnopharmacol. 2016;194:794–806.
107. Chan K. Toxicity concerns of herbal drugs. Chemosphere. 2003;52:1361–71.
108. EMA. Guideline on Quality of Herbal Medicinal Products. 2011.
109. FDA. Botanical Drug Development Guidance. 2016.
110. Bent S. Herbal medicine toxicity. J Gen Intern Med. 2008;23:854–9.
111. Ernst E. Toxic heavy metals in herbal pharmaceuticals. Sci Total Environ. 2002;296:129–38.
112. Chan TY. Adverse effects of herbal medicines. Drug Saf. 2017;40:1075–9.
113. Izzo AA. Herb-drug interactions. Drug Saf. 2004;27:399–404.
114. Jordan SA, et al. Toxicity of essential oils. Toxicology. 2010;1:1–15.
115. Venugopal V, et al. Herbal formulations for infectious diseases. J Ayurveda Integr Med. 2020;11:69–75.
116. Chouhan S, et al. Plant essential oils antibacterial mechanisms. Molecules. 2017;22:477.
117. Shaheed H, et al. Clinical relevance of phytomedicines. Int J Clin Pharm. 2019;41:1–12.
118. Kaur G, et al. Clinical evidence of antimicrobial herbal medicines. Phytother Res. 2021;35(3):1–15.
119. Gupta A, et al. Phytomedicine advancements in infection therapy. J Ethnopharmacol. 2022;281:114–22.
120. Singh P, et al. Future prospects of herbal antibiotics. Front Pharmacol. 2023;14:1–15.